

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JORJA BENTLEY : CIVIL ACTION
:
v. :
:
MERCK & CO., INC., et al. : NO. 17-1122
:

ROY HAGER : CIVIL ACTION
:
v. :
:
MERCK & CO., INC., et al. : NO. 17-1331
:

PHILLIP DIVERGIGELIS : CIVIL ACTION
:
v. :
:
MERCK & CO., INC., et al. : NO. 17-1333
:

RONALD BURTON : CIVIL ACTION
:
v. :
:
MERCK & CO., INC., et al. : NO. 17-1334
:

STEPHEN TSOPANIS : CIVIL ACTION
:
v. :
:
MERCK & CO., INC., et al. : NO. 17-1335
:

WANDA YOUNG : CIVIL ACTION
:
v. :
:
MERCK & CO., INC., et al. : NO. 17-1336
:

DOROTHY SPEARS	:	CIVIL ACTION
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
MERCK & CO., INC., et al.	:	NO. 17-1337
_____	:	_____
VICKI LING	:	CIVIL ACTION
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
MERCK & CO., INC., et al.	:	NO. 17-1338
_____	:	_____
DARLENE HARRIS	:	CIVIL ACTION
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
MERCK & CO., INC., et al.	:	NO. 17-1339
_____	:	_____
ELIZABETH BANJACK	:	CIVIL ACTION
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
MERCK & CO., INC., et al.	:	NO. 17-1340
_____	:	_____

ORDER

AND NOW, this 30th day of May, 2017, for the reasons set forth in the accompanying memorandum, it is hereby ORDERED that the motions of defendants Merck & Co., Inc. and Merck

Sharp & Dome Corp. to dismiss Count VI of the complaints are
GRANTED.¹

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Harvey Bartle III

J.

1. C.A. No. 17-1122 (Doc. # 7); C.A. No. 17-1331 (Doc. # 5);
C.A. No. 17-1333 (Doc. # 5); C.A. No. 17-1334 (Doc. # 5);
C.A. No. 17-1335 (Doc. # 5); C.A. No. 17-1336 (Doc. # 5);
C.A. No. 17-1337 (Doc. # 5); C.A. No. 17-1338 (Doc. # 5);
C.A. No. 17-1339 (Doc. # 5); C.A. No. 17-1340 (Doc. # 5).